

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/115,589	07/15/1998	JENNIFER E. VAN EYK	12917 1553 EXAMINER	
26259	7590 11/24/2003			
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
66 E. MAIN S MARLTON,		ART UNI		PAPER NUMBER
,			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/115,589	VAN EYK ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
)	Stephen Gucker	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applicance  1) a timely filed amendment whice al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-28 and 53-55.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del></del> ·
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet		

Continuation of 10. Other: The new limitation "wherein the presence of the myofilament protein modification product in the biological sample is associated with muscle damage" is still met by the prior art of record. See Wicks: irreversible cardiac damage from biological samples such as blood (pages 2-5) See Takahashi: assaying irreversible cardiac damage from biological samples such as blood (pages 2-5).

CARY KUNZ

TECHNOLOGY CE